

Appl. No. 10/718,413.  
Amdt. dated Oct 8, 2004  
Reply to Office action of Sept 21, 2004.

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-33 as filed in amendment to non final office action on October 8, 2004, have been modified to overcome all informality objections, all 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejections, and all 35 U.S.C. 103a rejections as outlined in reference numbers (1-7) and (9-10) of examiner's final office action dated February 1, 2005 as Pro-Se applicant has:

(1-2). Corrected claim 23 objection, and unduplicated claims 32-33.

(3). Referencing this limitation, Pro Se applicant would like to bring to the examiners attention that the currently amended claim 4 filed in the previous Amendment to non final office action introduces "a self adjusting unactuated alarm ceasing position in line 35. This claim is duplicated into this office action and labeled as Original.

(4). Referencing this limitation, Pro Se applicant would again like to point out to the examiner that "a spherical open ended socket" is introduced in claim 1 in which claim 8 is dependent.

Appl. No. 10/718,413.  
Amdt. dated February 8, 2005  
Reply to Final Office action of February 01, 2005.

(5). Number 5 Has been corrected by virtue of number 7.

(7). Claims 32 and 33 have been amended by removing "a slit" and adding " a spherical a pivot ball section", as well as altering the wording within the two claims. In claim 32 element B, Pro se applicant removed "luminating a visible alarm signal", and added a claim dependent on claim 32 reintroducing the element, further broadening claim 32. In claim 33 element B, Pro se applicant removed "sounding an audible alarm signal", and added a claim dependent on claim 33 reintroducing the element, further broadening claim 33.

(9). Claims 4 and 8 have been amended. Pro Se applicant finds no discrepancies with claims 20 and 21. Notice of Abandonment for application No. 10/310,339 was received on October 13, 2004.

Pro Se applicant would like to bring to the examiner's attention that claims 25, 27, 29, and 31 have been cancelled. All dependent claims after claim 25 including the 2 new dependent claims have referenced claim numbers they depend on based on the cancelled claims, and not any newly projected claim number reassignment.

Appl. No. 10/718,413.

Amdt. dated February 8, 2005

Reply to Final Office action of February 01, 2005.

Pro Se applicant believes that he has properly amended claims 1-24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33, and that these claims, and the 2 added dependent claims are in condition for allowance. Pro Se applicant asks the examiner to allow the amendments of the claims and the implementation of the 2 new claims after final office action, and respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully Submitted,

By   
Michael James Cameron.

First named Inventor.

Tel.: (727) 819-8087.